OPINION 764

CHAETO DERMA LOVÉN, 1844 (MOLLUSCA), AND CHAETO DERMIS SWAINSON, 1839 (PISCES): ADDED TO THE OFFICIAL LIST OF GENERIC NAMES

RULING.—(1) The following specific names are hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology with the Name Numbers specified:

- (a) penicilligerus Cuvier, 1817, as published in the binomen Balistes penicilligerus (type-species of Chaetodermis Swainson, 1839) (Name No. 2127);
- (b) nitidulum Lovén, 1844, as published in the binomen Chaetoderma nitidulum (type-species of Chaetoderma Lovén, 1844) (Name No. 2128).
- (2) The following generic names are hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology with the Name Numbers specified:
 - (a) Chaetodermis Swainson, 1839 (gender: masculine), type-species, by designation by Bleeker, 1866, Balistes penicilligerus Cuvier, 1817 (Name No. 1701);
 - (b) Chaetoderma Lovén, 1844 (gender: neuter), type-species, by monotypy, Chaetoderma nitidulum Lovén, 1844 (Name No. 1702).
- (3) The family-group name CHAETODERMATIDAE (correction of CHAETODERMATA) von Jhering, 1876 (type-genus *Chaetoderma* Lovén, 1844) is hereby placed on the Official List of Family-Group Names in Zoology with the Name Number 401.
- (4) The specific name *pennicilligerus* Cuvier, 1817, as published in the binomen *Balistes pennicilligerus* (an incorrect original spelling for *penicilligerus* Cuvier, 1817) is hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology with the Name Number 853.
- (5) The following generic names are hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology with the Name Numbers specified:
 - (a) Choetoderma Swainson, 1839 (an incorrect original spelling for Chaetodermis Swainson, 1839) (Name No. 1780);
 - (b) Chaetoderma Swainson, 1839 (an incorrect original spelling for Chaetodermis Swainson, 1839) (Name No. 1781);
 - (c) Chetoderma Kowalevsky & Marion, 1887 (an incorrect spelling for Chaetoderma Loyén, 1844) (Name No. 1782);
 - (d) Chaetoderma Moser, 1907 (a junior homonym of Chaetoderma Lovén, 1844) (Name No. 1783):
 - (e) Crystallophrysson Graff, 1875 (an incorrect spelling for Crystallophrisson Möbius, 1875) (Name No. 1784);
 - (f) Chrystallophrysson Wirén, 1892 (an incorrect spelling for Crystallophrisson Möbius, 1875) (Name No. 1785);
 - (g) Chrystallophrisson Lütken, 1877 (an incorrect spelling for Crystallophrisson Möbius, 1875) (Name No. 1786).

HISTORY OF THE CASE (Z.N.(S.) 1250)

The present case was first submitted to the office of the Commission by Dr. Henning Lemche in 1957. In February 1963 a new application on the same subject was independently submitted by Mr. David Heppell. Dr. Lemche supported the latter and agreed that it should replace his own. Consequently Mr. Heppell's application was sent to the printer on 17 July 1963 and was published on 6 November 1963 in *Bull. zool. Nomencl.* 20: 429–431. The application was supported by Dr. Myra Keen.

DECISION OF THE COMMISSION

On 3 October 1965 the Members of the Commission were invited to vote under the Three-Month Rule on Voting Paper (65)32 either for or against the proposals set out in *Bull. zool. Nomencl.* 20: 430–431. At the close of the prescribed voting period on 3 January 1966 the state of the voting was as follows:

Affirmative votes—twenty-one (21), received in the following order: China, Lemche, Simpson, Vokes, Mayr, do Amaral, Obruchev, Stoll, Uchida, Holthuis, Forest, Brinck, Bonnet, Ride, Tortonese, Sabrosky*, Alvarado, Boschma, Binder, Jaczewski, Evans.

Negative votes—None (0).

Voting Papers not returned—four (4): Hubbs, Kraus, Mertens, Munroe.

The following comments were made by Commissioners in returning their votes:

- Prof. G. G. Simpson (14.x.65): "On the showing of the proposal the recommended action is in accord both with strict application of the Code and with current usage. There appears to be no question either as to the result of applying the Code or as to usage, the only issue before the Commission being whether or not to place certain names on various Official Lists. Two matters of principle should, I think, be considered by the Commission, the Congress, or both:
- "1. Whether the expenses of publication, circularization and commissioners' time are justified when in fact there is no moot point.
- "2. What, in fact, are the function and force of the Official Lists. The only bare hint in the Code itself is in 78(f), which strikes me as completely baffling."
- Mr. C. W. Sabrosky (6.xii.65): "1 vote in the affirmative except for para. (5), which is an unnecessary Ruling."

ORIGINAL REFERENCES

The following are the original references for names placed on Official Lists and Indexes by the Ruling given in the present Opinion:

Chaetoderma Lovén, 1844, Öfvers. K. svensk. Vetensk.-Akad. Förhandl. 1: 116 Chaetoderma Moser, 1907, Ann. Soc, ent. Belg. 51: 319

Chaetoderma Swainson, 1839, an incorrect original spelling for Chaetodermis q.v.

^{*} An affirmative vote in part only. See note below.

CHAETODERMATIDAE von Jhering, 1876, Jb. dtsch. malakol. Ges. Jahrg. 3: 137 Chaetodermis Swainson, 1839, The Natural History of Fishes, Amphibians & Reptiles, or monocardian animals, 2: 194, 327, 441

Chetoderma Kowalevsky & Marion, 1887, Ann. Mus. Hist. nat. Marseille 3,

Mém. 1:8

Choetoderma Swainson, 1839, an incorrect original spelling for Chaetodermis q.v. Chrystallophrisson Lütken, 1877, Zool. Record 12 (for 1875) Vermes: 543 Chrystallophrysson Wirén, 1892, K. svensk. Vetensk.-Akad. Handl. 23(12)

Crystallophrysson Graff, 1875, Z. wiss. Zool. 26: 188

nitidulum, Chaetoderma, Lovén, 1844, Öfvers. K. svensk. Vetensk.-Akad. Förhandl. 1:116

penicilligerus, Balistes, Cuvier, 1817, Le Règne Animal 4: 185, pl. 9, fig. 3 pennicilligerus, Balistes, Cuvier, 1817, an incorrect original spelling for penicilligerus q.v.

The following is the original reference for the designation of a type-species

for a genus concerned in the present Ruling:

For Chaetodermis Swainson, 1839: Bleeker, 1866, Ned. Tijdschr. Dierk. 3: 12, 26

CERTIFICATE

We certify that the votes cast on Voting Paper (65)32 were cast as set out above, that the proposal contained in that Voting Paper has been duly adopted, and that the decision so taken, being the decision of the International Commission, is truly recorded in the present Opinion No. 764.

G. OWEN EVANS

Secretary

VANS W. E. CHINA
Assistant Secretary
International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature
London

27 *January* 1966